

**From:** [no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au) on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)  
**To:** [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy  
**Date:** Friday, 11 September 2020 11:10:33 AM

---

Submitted on Fri, 11/09/2020 - 11:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

Donald

**Last name**

Denoon

**Council name**

{Empty}

**Council email**

{Empty}

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Pyrmont 2009

**Submission file**

{Empty}

**Submission**

Submission from Pyrmont History Group

Our overview is that the lethal flaw in the PPPS proposal is the incorporation of the peninsula into the Sydney CBD, with the population density and the towering structures of a city centre instead of the present suburban landscape and demography.

The Blackwattle Bay foreshore and the Star tower are monstrous in scale, but much of the rest of the peninsula will be almost as oversized if it must accommodate the proposed residential and working populations.

We acknowledge the creative efforts of the PPPS planners to ameliorate the effects of Manhattanisation, but these are applications of lipstick to a peninsular pig. Unless the peninsula can be saved from incorporation into the City centre, the character of the precincts will be (literally) eclipsed.

Other submissions will no doubt address the striking absence of schools, clinics and sports facilities. The Pyrmont History Group's main concern however, is the revival of the indigenous presence and the social variety that characterised this peninsula for many decades before the gentrification of the 1990s and 2000s

Our specific criticism concerns the admirable aim to revive and support an indigenous community and cultural presence, especially in the Blackwattle Bay foreshore. We welcome this aspiration and the social and cultural vibrancy it will bring to the peninsula. To realise this dream, however, will require affordable housing on a much greater scale than the planners allow. Affordable housing for at least 25% of the peninsula's residential stock is essential, to accommodate key workers and social variety as well as an indigenous community, adding up to a vibrant, varied, lively community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

{Empty}